

Appl. No.: 09/687,727

Amdt. dated: September 16, 2003

Reply to Office action of 08/28/2003

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

**Listing of Claims:**

Claim 1 (original): A method of compressing image data comprising the step of varying a magnitude of a quantization step as a function of a distortion of an image.

Claim 2 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein the step of varying a magnitude of a quantization step as a function of a distortion of an image comprises the step of decreasing a range of lower frequency transform coefficient values included in a first quantization step relative to a range of higher frequency transform coefficient values included in a second quantization step as said distortion of said image increases.

Claim 3 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein the step of varying a magnitude of a quantization step as a function of a distortion of an image comprises the step of decreasing a range of lower frequency transform coefficient values included in a first quantization step relative to a range of higher frequency transform coefficient values included in a second quantization step when said distortion of said image exceeds a threshold distortion.

Claim 4 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein the step of varying a magnitude of a quantization step as a function of a distortion of an image comprises the step of decreasing a range of lower frequency transform coefficient values included in a first quantization step relative to a range of higher frequency transform coefficient values included in a second quantization step as a data rate decreases.

Claim 5 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein the step of varying a magnitude of a quantization step as a function of a distortion of an image comprises the step of decreasing a range of lower frequency transform coefficient values included in a first quantization step relative to a range of higher frequency transform coefficient values included in a second quantization step as a decrease in a data rate exceeds a threshold decrease.

Appl. No.: 09/687,727

Amdt. dated: September 16, 2003

Reply to Office action of 08/28/2003

Claim 6 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein the step of varying a magnitude of a quantization step as a function of a distortion of an image comprises the step of decreasing a range of lower frequency transform coefficient values included in a first quantization step relative to a range of higher frequency transform coefficient values included in a second quantization step if a peak-to-mean amplitude of said distortion at least equals a frequency detection threshold of a basis function.

Claim 7 (canceled): A method of quantizing image data comprising the steps of:

- (a) transforming an image datum to a datum transform coefficient;
- (b) measuring a distortion of an image;
- (c) as a function of said distortion of said image, varying a range of a plurality of transform coefficients included between a lower frequency limit and a higher frequency limit of a quantization step;
- (d) identifying a quantization step comprising a range of transform coefficients inclusive of said datum transform coefficient; and
- (e) substituting for said datum transform coefficient a quantizer index representing said transform coefficients of said range included in said quantizer step.

Claim 8 (canceled): The method of claim 7 wherein the step of varying said range of transform coefficients as a function of a distortion of said image data comprises the step of decreasing said range included in a first quantizer step comprising lower frequency transform coefficients relative to a range included in a second quantizer step comprising higher frequency transform coefficients as said distortion of said image increases.

Claim 9 (canceled): The method of claim 7 wherein the step of varying said range of transform coefficients as a function of a distortion of said image data comprises the step of decreasing said range included in a quantizer step comprising lower frequency transform coefficients relative to a range included in a second quantizer step comprising higher frequency transform coefficients if said distortion of said image exceeds a threshold distortion.

Appl. No.: 09/687,727

Amdt. dated: September 16, 2003

Reply to Office action of 08/28/2003

Claim 10 (canceled): The method of claim 7 wherein the step of varying said range of transform coefficients as a function of a distortion of said image data comprises the step of decreasing said range included in a quantizer step comprising lower frequency transform coefficients relative to a range included in a second quantizer step comprising higher frequency transform coefficients if a peak-to-mean amplitude of said distortion at least equals a frequency detection threshold of a basis function.

Claim 11 (canceled): The method of claim 7 wherein the step of varying said range of transform coefficients as a function of a distortion of said image data comprises the step of decreasing said range included in a first quantizer step comprising lower frequency transform coefficients relative to a range included in a second quantizer step comprising higher frequency transform coefficients as a data rate decreases.

Claim 12 (canceled): The method of claim 7 wherein the step of varying said range of transform coefficients as a function of a distortion of said image data comprises the step of decreasing said range included in a first quantizer step comprising lower frequency transform coefficients relative to a range included in a second quantizer step comprising higher frequency transform coefficients a decrease in a data rate exceeds a threshold decrease.

Claim 13 (original): A method of compressing an image comprising the steps of:

- (a) separating data representing said image into a plurality of image data frequency sub-bands;
- (b) transforming said data to a plurality of transform coefficients;
- (c) mapping said transform coefficients to a plurality of quantizer indices, each said quantizer index comprising a plurality of digits arrayed from a most significant digit to a least significant digit;
- (d) adding said most significant digits of said quantizer indices representing an image data frequency sub-band to a bitstream;

Appl. No.: 09/687,727

Amdt. dated: September 16, 2003

Reply to Office action of 08/28/2003

- (e) repeating step (d) for a less significant digit of said quantizer indices until a number of significant digits specified by a truncation limit for said image data frequency sub-band is reached; and
- (f) varying said truncation limit for at least two of said image data frequency sub-bands as a function of a distortion of said image.

Claim 14 (original): The method of claim 13 further comprising the step of varying said truncation limit as a function of a frequency of said image data represented by said image data frequency sub-band.

Claim 15 (original): The method of claim 13 wherein the step of varying said truncation limit for at least two of said image data frequency sub-bands as a function of a distortion of said image comprises varying said truncation limit to increase a number of significant digits added to said bit stream for a lower frequency image sub-band relative to a number of significant digits added to said bit stream for a higher frequency sub-band as said distortion of said image increases.

Claim 16 (original): The method of claim 15 further comprising the step of varying said truncation limit as a function of a frequency of said image data represented by said image data frequency sub-band.

Claim 17 (canceled) A data quantizer for an image source encoder comprising:

- (a) a comparator for comparing a transform coefficient to limits bounding a quantizer step;
- (b) a weighting element to decrease a separation of said limits of a quantizer step to be applied to a transform coefficient representing a lower frequency component of said image data relative to a separation of said limits of a quantizer step to be applied to a transform coefficient representing a higher frequency component of said image data.

Appl. No.: 09/687,727

Amdt. dated: September 16, 2003

Reply to Office action of 08/28/2003

Claim 18 (canceled): The apparatus of claim 17 wherein a separation of said limits of said quantizer step to be applied to a transform coefficient representing a lower frequency component of said image data is relatively less than a separation of said limits of said quantizer step to be applied to a transform coefficient representing a higher frequency component of said image data.